

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,289	09/26/2003	Domino Taverner	WEAT/0451	8313
36735	7590 06/01/2006		EXAMINER	
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P.			NGUYEN, TU T	
	OAK BOULEVARD, SUI' TX 77056	TTE 1500	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/672,289	TAVERNER ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Tu T. Nguyen	2877
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stati reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU 1.136(a). In no event, however, may not will apply and will expire SIX (6) No tute, cause the application to become	NICATION.  y a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Be ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			•.
/	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal m	· •
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•	
5) □ 6) ⋈ 7) □ 8) □ <b>Applicat</b> i 9) □ 10) ⋈	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 26 September 2003 is applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the I	rawn from consideration.  /or election requirement.  ner.  s/are: a) accepted or be drawing(s) be held in abeception is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
·	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<sup>-</sup> 12) <u></u> a)∣	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have be eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage
2)  Notic 3) Infor	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 16, line 13, "the first" should be changed to "a first" to provide proper antecedent and basis.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, lines 7-8, the phrase "wherein the spectral feature of interest has a bandwidth that is significantly greater than the first rate" is not clear. It is not clear how the bandwidth can be compared to the rate because the bandwidth is measured by wavelength or frequency but the rate is measured by the rate of change of the polarization. They have different measurement units. Since the limitation is indefinite, examiner do no give any patentable weight to the limitation.

Claims 16, lines 8-10; Claim 32, lines 7-8, refer to discussion in claim 1 above.

Claims 2-15,17-31,33-35 are rejected as being depended on a rejected claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Froggatt (6,856,400).

With respect to claims 1,32,34, Froggatt discloses an apparatus comprising an optical source 14 (fig 1) that produces light that sweeps an optical spectrum (column 5, lines 39-47); a polarization element 16 (fig 1) that changes the polarization of the light (column 5, lines 58-60) at a first rate (rotating through a great circle, column 5, lines 58-60); an optical element 10 (fig 1) that produces a spectral response from the polarization changed light, wherein the spectral response includes a spectral feature of interest (column 5, lines 40-41), a receiver network 26 (fig 1) in optical communication with the optical element 10 (fig 1) that produces a received signal from the spectral response; and a data processing unit 30 (fig 1).

Froggatt does not explicitly disclose calculating a wavelength corresponding to the spectral feature of interest. However, Froggatt discloses that the spectral acquisition device 28 (fig 1) could be changed to a spectral measurement system such as an OSA depending on the light source (column 6, lines 45-50). It would have been obvious that the OSA taught by Froggatt could be used for measuring the wavelength of a measurement light. It would have been obvious to modify Froggatt with the known OSA

Art Unit: 2877

for calculating a wavelength corresponding to the spectral feature of interest as claimed to measure different characteristics of the sample.

With respect to claim 2, Froggatt does not disclose calculating the wavelength being performed in a manner that is insensitive to variations or noise in the received signal. However, it would have been obvious modify Froggatt to perform the calculation in a manner that is insensitive to variation or noise in the received signal in order to make the system more accurate.

With respect to claims 3-4, Froggatt discloses an unknown and vary polarization transformation element 16 (fig 1) (column 5, lines 48-50).

With respect to claims 5,17, Froggatt discloses a tunable laser 14 (fig 1).

With respect to claims 6,18, Froggatt discloses using a broadband light source (column 5, line 46). Froggatt does not disclose a tunable filter. However, the combination of the broadband light source and a tunable filter would perform the same function as the tunable light source taught by Froggatt. It would have been obvious to modify Froggatt with the claimed broadband and tunable filter to supply different wavelength ranges without changing the system setup.

With respect to claims 7-10,19-22, Froggatt does not disclose the claimed passive or active depolarizer. However, it would have been obvious to modify Froggatt with different type of depolarizer for measuring different characteristics of the sample.

With respect to claims 11,23, Froggatt discloses a Brag Grating (column 1, line 20).

With respect to claims 12-13,24,27-29,35, Froggatt does not disclose the claimed method for calculating the filter wavelength. However, it would have been obvious to modify Froggatt with different calculating method for different intended uses.

With respect to claims 14,25, Froggatt discloses a detector 48 (fig 5).

With respect to claims 15,33, Froggatt does not disclose a low pass filter.

However, it would have been obvious to modify Froggatt with the claimed filter to filter out any unwanted signal to facilitate the measuring.

With respect to claim 16, refer to discussion in claim 1 above for the system, claim 15 for the filter.

With respect to claim 26, Froggatt disclose a computer 26 (fig 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/672,289

Art Unit: 2877

Page 6

With respect to claims 30-31, Froggatt does not disclose an analog or digital filter. However, the claimed filter would have been known. It would have been obvious to modify Froggatt with the claimed filters for filtering out any unwanted signals to facilitate the measuring.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2424. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 7:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Toatley Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2800 Ext. 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2877

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tu T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

05/25/2006